

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

SPENCER PIERCE,)	3:10-CV-0239-ECR (VPC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u>
)	
vs.)	March 25, 2011
)	
HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	
_____)	

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE VALERIE P. COOKE, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: LISA MANN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:

The court has reviewed plaintiff's motion for TRO/preliminary injunction (#9), defendants' response (#15), plaintiff's letter (#17), and the court's screening order (#8). It appears to the court, that plaintiff's motion for TRO/preliminary injunction was inadvertently filed on the docket twice (#s 7 & 9). Plaintiff states in his letter that he should not have two motions for TRO/preliminary injunction on file, and that his original motion for TRO/preliminary injunction (#7) was denied (#8). Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that the second (duplicative) motion for TRO/preliminary injunction motion (#9) is DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk